Re-ionization: star forming galaxies at z~6?

Andrew Bunker¹ Elizabeth Stanway² Richard Ellis³ Richard McMahon²

in collaboration with Pat McCarthy, Tommaso Treu

¹Exeter, UK ²Cambridge, UK ³Caltech, USA

Star formation history in the first billion years Andy Bunker, Kuenley Chiu (AAO), Elizabeth Stanway (Bristol), Mark Lacy (Spitzer), Daniel Stark, Richard Ellis (Caltech), Laurence Eyles (Exeter) Richard McMahon (IoA, Cambridge)





"Lyman break technique" - sharp drop in flux at λ below Ly- α . Steidel et al. have >1000 z~3 objects, "drop" in U-band.

HUBBLE SPACE TELESCOPE





3.0 By selecting on restframe UV, get 2.5 inventory of ionizing 2.0photons from star formation. Stanway, 1.5 E (2003 MNRAS) 1.0 Sbc selected z-drops 5 0.5 5.6 < z < 7 - but large luminosity bias to lm 0.0 lower z. -0.5Contamination by 0 2 3 5 6 stars and low-z redshift ellipticals.

10-m Kecks

8-m Gemini

ESO VLTS

The Star Formation History of the Univese

I-drops in the Chandra Deep Field South with HST/ACS Elizabeth Stanway, Andrew Bunker, Richard McMahon 2003 (MNRAS)





High equivalent width tail at z~6 (for usual assumptions, EW<100Ang theoretically and

<30Ang observationally at z~3)





Continuum z' Magnitude

No bright, high-EW z~6 galaxies?



After era probed by CMBR the Universe enters the so-called "dark ages" prior to formation of first stars

Hydrogen is then re-ionized by the newly-formed stars

When did this happen?

What did it?



Redshift z

1100

10

5

2

0



Reionization At high-redshift, the Lyman- α forest can absorb most of the flux below $\lambda_{rf} = 1216$ Å. Indications from z=6.3SDSS QSO that Universe may be optically thick at z~6 (see talk by Fan). BUT confusing messages from WMAP CMB satellite: reionization z~10-30? (Kogut et al. 2003)

Looking at the UDF (going 10x deeper, $z'=26 \rightarrow 28.5$ mag)



 $\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_{\rm SFR} &\approx 0.013 \, f_{\rm esc}^{-1} \, \left(\frac{1+z}{6}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Omega_b \, h_{50}^2}{0.08}\right)^2 C_{30} \, M_\odot \, {\rm yr}^{-1} \, {\rm Mpc}^{-3} \\ & {\rm From Madau, Haardt \& Rees (1999) - amount} \\ & {\rm of star formation required to ionize Universe} \\ & {\rm (C}_{30} \, {\rm is a clumping factor).} \end{aligned}$

This assumes escape fraction=1 (i.e. all ionzing photons make it out of the galaxies)

Our UDF data has star formation at z=6 which is 3x *less* than that required! AGN cannot do the job.

We go down to $1M_sun/yr$ - but might be steep α (lots of low luminosity sources - forming globulars?)

Ways out of the Puzzle

- Cosmic variance
- Star formation at even earlier epochs to reionize Universe (*z*>>6)?
- Change the physics: different recipe for star formation (Initial mass function)? - some evidence for this in high EW Ly-alpha tail and blue rest-UV colours
- Even fainter galaxies than we can reach with the UDF?





Spitzer – IRAC (3.6-8.0 microns)



- z=5.83 galaxy #1 from Stanway, Bunker & McMahon 2003 (spec conf from Stanway et al. 2004, Dickinson et al. 2004). Detected in GOODS IRAC 3-4µm: Eyles, Bunker, Stanway et al.



Other Population Synthesis Models



Maraston vs. Bruzual & Charlot





-Have shown that some z=6 I-drops have old stars and large masses

-Hints that there may be z>6 galaxies similar (Egami lens). Mobasher source - z=6.5??? (probably lower-z) -Turn now to larger samples, to provide stellar mass density in first Gyr with Spitzer

-- In Stark, Bunker, Ellis et al. (2006) we look at vdrops ($z\sim5$) in the GOODS-South

-21 have spectroscopic redshifts, 2/3rds unconfused at Spitzer resolution

Also use 200 photometric redshifts (going fainter),>50 unconfused



Eyles, Bunker, Ellis et al. astro-ph/0607306



Eyles, Bunker, Ellis et al. astro-ph/0607306





Eyles, Bunker, Ellis et al. astro-ph/0607306



Eyles, Bunker, Ellis et al. astro-ph/0607306

